arXiv:0804.4403v1 [math.DG] 28 Apr 2008

Families of Vector Fields which Generate the Group of Diffeomorphisms

A. A. Agrachev* M. Caponigro†

Abstract

Given a compact manifold M, we prove that any bracket generating and invariant under multiplication on smooth functions family of vector fields on M generates the connected component of unit of the group $\mathrm{Diff}M$.

Let M be a smooth[1] n-dimensional compact manifold, $\mathrm{Vec}M$ the space of smooth vector fields on M and $\mathrm{Diff}_0 M$ the group of isotopic to the identity diffeomorphisms of M.

Given $f \in \mathrm{Vec}M$, we denote by $t \mapsto e^{tf},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow on M generated by f; then $e^{tf},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a one-parametric subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_0 M$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Vec}M$; the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}_0 M$ generated by $e^{tf},\ f \in \mathcal{F},\ t \in \mathbb{R}$, is denoted by $\mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Vec}M$; if $\mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{F}$ acts transitively on M, then*

$$\mathrm{Gr}\left\{af : a \in C^\infty(M),\ f \in \mathcal{F}\right\} = \mathrm{Diff}_0 M.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\Delta \subset TM$ be a completely nonholonomic vector distribution. Then any isotopic to the identity diffeomorphism of M has a form $e^{f_1} \circ \cdots \circ e^{f_k}$, where $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ are sections of Δ.*

Remark. Recall that $\mathrm{Gr}\{f_1, f_2\}$ acts transitively on M for a generic pair of smooth vector fields f_1, f_2.

---

*SISSA, Trieste & Steklov Math. Inst., Moscow
†SISSA, Trieste

[1] In this paper, smooth means C^∞.

We start the proof of the theorem with an auxiliary lemma that is actually the main part of the proof. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be diffeomorphic to a cube, $0 \in B$; we set $C_0^\infty(B) = \{a \in C^\infty(B) : a(0) = 0\}$ and assume that $C_0^\infty(B)$ is endowed with the standard C^∞-topology.

Lemma 1 (Main Lemma)**.** *Let* $X_i \in \mathrm{Vec}\mathbb{R}^n,\ a_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),\ i = 1, \ldots, n,$ *and the following conditions hold:*

- *span*$\{X_1(0), \ldots, X_n(0)\} = \mathbb{R}^n,$
- $a_i(0) = 0,\ \langle d_0 a_i, X_i(0)\rangle < 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, n;$

then there exist $\epsilon, \varepsilon > 0$ *and a neighborhood* $\mathcal{O}$ *of* $(\epsilon a_1, \ldots, \epsilon a_n)\big|_{B_\varepsilon}$ *in* $C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon)^n$ *such that the mapping*

$$\Phi : (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \mapsto \left(e^{b_1 X_1} \circ \cdots \circ e^{b_n X_n}\right)\big|_{B_\varepsilon} \tag{1}$$

is an open map from $\mathcal{O}$ *into* $C_0^\infty(B_\varepsilon)^n$*, where*

$$B_\varepsilon = \left\{e^{s_1 X_1} \circ \cdots \circ e^{s_n X_n}(0) : |s_i| \le \varepsilon,\ i = 1, \ldots, n\right\}.$$

Sketch of proof. Openness of the map (1) is derived from the Hamilton's version of the Nash–Moser inverse function theorem [2]. Set $\bar{a} = (\epsilon a_1, \ldots, \epsilon a_n)$. In order to apply the Nash–Moser theorem we have to invert the differential of Φ at $\bar{a}$ and show that inverse is "tame" with respect to $\bar{a}$. Here we make computations only for fixed $\bar{a}$ and leave the boring check of the tame dependence on $\bar{a}$ for the detailed paper.

Note that $e^{\epsilon a_j X_j}$ are closed to identity diffeomorphisms, hence $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial b_i}\big|_{\bar{a}}$ is obtained from $\frac{\partial}{\partial b_i} e^{b_i X_i}\big|_{\epsilon a_i}$ by a closed to identity change of variables. We have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} e^{aX}\right) : b \mapsto e_*^{aX} \left(\int_0^1 e^{\int_t^0 \langle da, X\rangle \circ e^{\tau a X} d\tau} b \circ e^{taX}\, dtX\right) \circ e^{aX}.$$

This equality follows from the standard "variations formula" (see [1]) and the relation:

$$\left(e^{taX}\right)_* : X \mapsto \left(e^{\int_0^t \langle da, X\rangle \circ e^{-\tau a X} d\tau}\right) X.$$

Let us define an operator $A(a, X) : C_0^\infty(\hat{B}_\varepsilon) \to C_0^\infty\left(\hat{B}_\varepsilon\right)$ by the formula

$$A(a, X)b = \int_0^1 e^{\int_t^0 \langle da, X\rangle \circ e^{\tau a X} d\tau} b \circ e^{taX}\, dt,$$

where $\hat{B}_\varepsilon = \left\{ e^{sX}(x) : |s| \le \varepsilon,\ x \in \Pi^{n-1} \right\}$ and Π^{n-1} is a transversal to X small $(n-1)$-dimensional box. We see that invertibility of $A(\varepsilon a_i, X_i),\ i = 1, \ldots, n$, implies invertibility of $D_{\bar{a}}\Phi$.

Now set $\mathcal{X} = \{bX : b \in C^\infty(M)\} \subset \mathrm{Vec}M$. The map

$$(bX) \mapsto (A(a,x)b)\, X$$

has a clear intrinsic meaning as a linear operator on the space $\mathcal{X}$; moreover, this operator depends only on the vector field $aX \in \mathcal{X}$. Indeed,

$$(A(a,X)b)\, X = e_*^{-aX} \left(D_{(aX)} Exp\big|_{\mathcal{X}}(bX) \right) \circ e^{-aX},$$

where $D_Y Exp$ is the differential at the point $Y \in \mathrm{Vec}M$ of the map

$$Exp : Y \mapsto e^Y, \quad Y \in \mathrm{Vec}M.$$

Recall that $a(0) = 0,\ \langle d_0 a, X(0) \rangle < 0$. In particular, X is transversal to the hypersurface $a^{-1}(0)$. We may rectify the field X in such a way that, in new coordinates, $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\ a(0, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$. Now the field aX can be treated as a depending on $y = (x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ family of 1-dimensional vector fields $a(x_1, y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$. Moreover, $a(0,y) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1}(0,y) = \alpha(y) < 0$.

A hyperbolic 1-dimensional field $a(x_1,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ can be linearized by a smooth change of variable and this smooth change of variable smoothly depends on y. Hence we may assume that $aX = \alpha(y)x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$. Then $b \circ e^{taX}(x_1, y) = b(e^{\alpha(y)t}x_1, y)$.

We thus have to invert the operator

$$\hat{A} : b(x_1, y) \mapsto \int_0^1 e^{-t\alpha(y)} b\left(e^{\alpha(y)t}x_1, y\right)\, dt$$

acting in the space of smooth functions on a box. We can write

$$b(x_1, y) = b_0(y) + x_1 b_1(y) + x_1^2 u(x_1, y),$$

where u is a smooth function. Then $\hat{A}b_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - e^{-\alpha})b_0,\ \hat{A}\left(x_1 b_1\right) = x_1 b_1$ and

$$\hat{A}\left(x_1^2 u(x_1, y)\right) = x_1^2 \int_0^1 e^{\alpha(y)t} u\left(e^{\alpha(y)t}x_1, y\right)\, dt = -\frac{x_1^2}{\alpha(y)} \int_{e^{\alpha(y)}}^1 u(\tau x_1, y)\, d\tau.$$

What remains is to invert the operator

$$B : u(x_1, y) \mapsto \int_{e^{\alpha(y)}}^{1} u(\tau x_1, y)\, d\tau.$$

We set $v(x_1, y) = \frac{1}{x_1}\int_0^{x_1} u(s, y)\, ds$; then

$$(Bu)(x_1, y) = \left(v(x_1, y) - e^{\alpha(y)} v(e^{\alpha(y)} x_1, y)\right). \tag{2}$$

We introduce one more operator:

$$R : v(x_1, y) \mapsto e^{\alpha(y)} v(e^{\alpha(y)} x_1, y).$$

Let $\|v\|_{C^{k,0}} = \sup\limits_{1 \le i \le k} \left\| \frac{\partial^i v}{\partial x_1^i} \right\|_{C^0}$. Obviously, $\|R\|_{C^{k,0}} \le e^{\sup \alpha} < 1$, $\forall k$. Hence $(I - R)^{-1}$ transforms a smooth on the box function ψ in the function $\varphi = (I - R)^{-1}\psi$ that is smooth with respect to x_1. As usually, the chain rule for the differentiation allows to demonstrate that function φ is also smooth on the box and to compute its derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i} = (I - R)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_i} - e^{\alpha} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y_i} \varphi - e^{2\alpha} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_1} \right), \quad \text{e.t.c.}$$

Coming back to equation (2), we obtain: $v = (I - R)^{-1} Bu$. Finally,

$$B^{-1} : w \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(x_1 (I - R)^{-1} w \right). \qquad \square$$

Now set

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Gr}\left\{ af : a \in C^\infty(M),\ f \in \mathcal{F} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_q = \{ P \in \mathcal{P} : P(q) = q \},\ q \in M.$$

Lemma 2. *Any $q \in M$ possesses a neighborhood $U_q \subset M$ such that the set*

$$\left\{ P\big|_{U_q} : P \in \mathcal{P}_q \right\} \tag{3}$$

has a nonempty interior in $C_q^\infty(U_q, M)$, where $C_q^\infty(U_q, M)$ is the Fréchet manifold of smooth maps $F : U_q \to M$ such that $F(q) = q$.

Proof. According to the Orbit Theorem of Sussmann [4] (see also the textbook [1]), transitivity of the action of $\mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{F}$ on M implies that

$$T_qM = span\{P_*f(q) : p \in \mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{F},\ f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Take $X_i = P_{i*}f_i,\ i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $P_i \in \mathrm{Gr}\mathcal{F},\ f_i \in \mathcal{F}$, and $X_1(q), \dots, X_n(q)$ form a basis of T_qM. Then for any vanished at q smooth functions $a_1, \dots, a_n$, the diffeomorphism

$$e^{a_1X_1} \circ \cdots \circ e^{a_nX_n} = P_1 \circ e^{(a_1 \circ P_1)f_1} \circ P_1^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ P_n \circ e^{(a_n \circ P_n)f_n} \circ P_n^{-1}$$

belongs to the group $\mathcal{P}_q$. The desired result now follows from Main Lemma.

Corollary 2. *Interior of the set (3) contains the identical map.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an open subset of $C^\infty_q(U_q, M)$ that is contained in (3) and $P_0\big|_{U_q} \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $P_0^{-1} \circ \mathcal{O}$ is a contained in (3) neighborhood of the identity.

Definition 1. *Given $P \in \mathrm{Diff}M$, we set $supp\,P = \overline{\{x \in M : P(x) \neq x\}}$.*

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a neighborhood of the identity in $\mathrm{Diff}M$. Then for any $q \in M$ and any neighborhood $U_q \subset M$ of q, we have:*

$$q \in int\,\{P(q) : P \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{P},\ supp\,P \subset U_q\}\,.$$

Proof. Let vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2 and $b \in C^\infty(M)$ a cut-off function such that $supp\,b \subset U_q$ and $q \in int\,b^{-1}(1)$. Then the diffeomorphism

$$Q(s_1, \dots, s_n) = e^{s_1bX_1} \circ \cdots \circ e^{s_nbX_n}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{P}$ for all sufficiently close to 0 real numbers $s_1, \dots, s_n$ and $supp\,Q(s_1, \dots, s_n) \subset U_q$. On the other hand, the map

$$(s_1, \dots, s_n) \mapsto Q(s_1, \dots, s_n)(q)$$

is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of 0.

Lemma 4. *Let $\bigcup\limits_j U_j = M$ be a covering of M by open subsets and $\mathcal{O}$ be a neighborhood of identity in $\mathrm{Diff}M$. Then the group Diff_0M is generated by the subset*

$$\{P \in \mathcal{O} : \exists j \text{ such that } supp\,P \subset U_j\}.$$

Proof. The group $\mathrm{Diff}_0 M$ is obviously generated by any neighborhood of the identity. We may assume that the covering of M is finite and any U_j is contained in a coordinate neighborhood. Moreover, taking a finer covering and a smaller neighborhood $\mathcal{O}$ if necessary, we may assume that for any $P \in \mathcal{O}$ and any U_j, the coordinate representation of $P\big|_{U_j}$ has a form $P : x \mapsto x + \varphi_P(x)$, where φ is a C^1-small smooth vector function.

Now consider a refined covering $\bigcup\limits_i O_i = M$, so that $\overline{O}_i \subset U_{j_i}$ for some j_i and cut-off functions a_i such that $a_i|_{O_i} = 1$, $supp\, a_i \subset U_{j_i}$. Given $P \in \mathcal{O}$, we set

$$P_i(x) = x + a_i(x)\varphi_P(x),\ \forall x \in U_{j_i} \text{ and } P_i(q) = q,\ \forall q \in M \setminus U_{j_i}.$$

Then $supp\,(P_i^{-1} \circ P) \subset supp\, P \setminus O_i$. Now, by the induction with respect to i, we step by step arrive to a diffeomorphism with empty support. In other words, we present P as a composition of diffeomorphisms whose supports are contained in U_j.

Proof of the Theorem. According to Lemma 4, it is sufficient to prove that there exist a neighborhood $U_q \subset M$ and a neighborhood of the identity $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{Diff} M$ such that any diffeomorphism $P \in \mathcal{O}$ whose support is contained in U_q belongs to $\mathcal{P}$. Moreover, Lemma 3 allows to assume that $P(q) = q$. Finally, the corollary to Lemma 2 completes the job.

Acknowledgment. First coauthor is greatful to Boris Khesin who asked him the question answered by this paper (see also recent preprint [3]).

References

[1] A. A. Agrachev,Yu. L. Sachkov, *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, xiv+412pp.

[2] R. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser.* Bulletin of the Amer. Math. Soc., 1982, v.7, 65–222

[3] B. Khesin, P. Lee, *A nonholonomic Moser theorem and optimal mass transport.* arXiv:0802.1551v2 [math.DG], 2008, 31p.

[4] H. J. Sussmann, *Orbits of families of vector fields and integrability of distributions.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1973, v.180, 171–188